### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	)
Shekhar Kirani, et al.	) Examiner: Greg Bengzon
Application No. 09/900,384	) Art Unit: 2144
Filed: July 6, 2001	) Confirmation No.: 7192
For: SYSTEM AND METHODOLOGY FOR OPTIMIZING DELIVERY OF EMAIL ATTACHMENTS FOR DISPARATE DEVICES	) ) ) )
Commissioner for Patents	•
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria VA 22313 1450	

### **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the telephone interview on April 14, 2009, in which Applicant's counsel and the Examiner discussed rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Applicant summarizes the interview as having discussed this rejection and potential amendments to overcome the rejection, and that no agreement of patentability was reached between Applicant and the Examiner.

## **CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this document is being submitted via EFS-WEB on: May 18, 2009
Assistant: /Carla Anysia Nascimento/
Carla Anysia Nascimento

# Respectfully submitted,

# BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: May 18, 2009

Eric S. Replogle Reg. No. 52,161

1279 Oakmead Parkway Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040 (408) 720-8300